## The Portland Opportunity

PPS Superintendent Search/Recruitment Task Force Update

Task Force Charge

Recommend a process and tools for the School Board to recruit and hire a Superintendent who will serve as an effective leader for Portland Public Schools







## Next steps

- Tool development -- ongoing
- Candidate recruitment ongoing
- Compensation range set underway
- Background check revision
- Board consideration of interview/hiring process recommendation
- Candidates selected for interviews
- Challenges:
  - -- Timing (year end), window before deep summer
  - -- Education leader wanting to build senior team, fill open leadership positions























Madison is the least complicated HS las clearest path through esign and permits smallest HS budget
esign and permits
Clearest land use review process ligh historically inderserved community allows Marshall to be eprogrammed when omplete

PORTLAND PUBLIC SCHOOL	
Project	Roll-out
<ul> <li>Lincoln is a bit more complex</li> <li>Most urban and dense site</li> <li>Requires formal Design Review process</li> <li>Yet to be identified partnerships</li> <li>Occupied site (not building)</li> <li>Bigger budget</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Benson is the most complex</li> <li>Occupied building</li> <li>Construction will be completed in a series of phases</li> <li>Requires lots of swing spaces</li> <li>TBD programming items</li> <li>Unidentified partnership opportunities</li> <li>Requires Historic Review process</li> </ul>

PORTLAN				he		lai	n								
			ar 1 017	year 2 2018		ear 3 019		ar 4 )20		ar 5 21	ar 6 122	yea 20		yea 202	
Grant + RHS + FHS + Faubic			GRAN	г нібн ѕсно	OL	X									
Benson	202,000,000								р						х
Lincoln	187,000,000						р						х		
Madison	146,000,000				р						x				
Kellogg	45,000,000				р	_				х					
EHS (including OSCIM)	158,000,000														
Swing & Transportation	8,000,000														
Master Planning	3,000,000														
MGMT	29,000,000														
Debt Repayment	-														
Program Cont. Bond Premium	20,000,000														
Bond Premium															
	798,000,000		plannir	-					2020 v	ote					
			design nstruct			Marsh	all avai	lable	bble p = permit + b x = school op						
		10	nsuuct								x - st		pens		









PORTLAND PUBLIC SCHOOLS	
Bond Health and Safety Project	s - \$158M
Water quality	\$28,492,000
<ul> <li>Lead-based paint</li> </ul>	\$16,623,936
Asbestos	\$12,000,000
Radon	\$1,126,125
Fire alarm and/or sprinkler systems	\$25,849,990
<ul> <li>Roofs-Seismic Strengthening</li> </ul>	\$50,907,949
<ul> <li>Accessibility/ADA</li> </ul>	\$10,000,000
<ul> <li>Security systems</li> </ul>	\$5,000,000
<ul> <li>OSCIM (to be used on Health and</li> </ul>	
Safety)	\$8,000,000



Strategy on		d Paint Proje	ects					
Facilities. (COMPLET Phase II – Planning Districtwide assessme (COMPLETED) Interior prioritization is building and the ratio o footage. (COMPLETE Exterior prioritization is	ED) ent of lead paint condit s weighted on the press of interior deteriorated SD) s weighted on the press	f paint conditions at all E ions by third party consu ence of K-2 populations paint to overall building sence of lead paint chips overall building square f	Itant in the square in the soil					
,								
Example School School A	Interior           M:-2 population Yes: 10 points No: 1 point         Deterioration Ratio >.0.05: 10 points 0.05: 0.01: 5 points <0.01: 1 point	Exterior           Paint Chips in Soil Yes: 10 points         Deterioration Ratio           Stewhere: 5 points No: 1 point         >0.05: 10 points           0.05-0.01: 5 points         <0.01: 1 point						
	Interior Deterioration Ratio							
	X Multiplier (5) = Interior Deterioration Score	X Multiplier (5) =Exterior Deterioration Score						



PORTLAND PUBLIC SCHOOLS
Strategy on Asbestos Projects
<ul> <li>Phase III – Implementation         <ul> <li>Partial lead paint encapsulation at 40+ schools last summer. (COMPLETED)</li> <li>Phase I – Response</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Facilities and Environmental Health and Safety have historically done a variety of ongoing projects in response to individual projects (COMPLETED)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Phase II – Planning         <ul> <li>Locations with moderate to high friability (most dangerous) have been identified.</li> <li>(COMPLETED)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Phase III – Implementation     Flooring projects (Stephenson, Sitton, Beaumont) (IN PROCESS)
<ul> <li>Misc. abatement (multiple sites associated with maintenance activities such as boilers) (IN PROCESS)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Prioritization of future projects will focus on any damaged friable asbestos ceiling material or wall material that is in a student occupied space. This is due to the fact that it presents the greatest hazard to building occupants because of its ability to get in the air and the potential for damage. For example: gymnasiums, auditoriums, hallways, and classrooms.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Secondary areas of prioritization will be exposed piping in hallways and classrooms with damaged insulation.</li> </ul>

PORTLAND PUBLIC SCHOOLS
Strategy on Radon Projects
<ul> <li>Phase I - Response</li> <li>Initial short term monitoring across the District. (COMPLETED)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Phase II – Planning</li> <li>Temporary mitigation installed at Skyline, Peninsula, Ockley</li> </ul>
Green, Meek and Lent (COMPLETED) <ul> <li>Long term continuous monitoring that confirms radon above the</li> </ul>
action level in rooms that initially tested high completed. (COMPLETED)
<ul> <li>Phase III – Implementation</li> <li>Permanent mitigation systems installed at Meek and Lent (IN)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>PROCESS)</li> <li>Planning work around mitigation systems at the other identified</li> </ul>
sites is ongoing with work anticipated in the Fall heating season (IN PROCESS)



Strategy on Roof-Seismic Strengthening Projects
Strategy on noor Seismie Strengthening Projects
<ul> <li>Phase I – Response         <ul> <li>Ongoing roof repairs and replacements (including Astor and 2012 Bond projects) (IN PROCESS)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Phase II – Planning
<ul> <li>Roof surveys were last conducted in 2009. This data forms a baseline for current roof conditions. (COMPLETED)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Roofs known to have problems based on the surveys or recent events and the age of the roof are the primary criteria being used to prioritize the work. (IN PROCESS)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Previous Seismic surveys identified seismic needs associated with roofs. (COMPLETED)</li> </ul>
Phase III – Implementation
<ul> <li>Lee Cafeteria/Auditorium replacement (IN PROCESS)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Planning and replacement (into Fall 2017) of Tubman roof (IN</li> </ul>
PROCESS)
<ul> <li>Planning King roof for replacement in summer 2018 (IN PROCESS)</li> </ul>







